## EXHIBIT 1

Volume 6

Pages 946 - 1175

) Related Case No. C 21-07559 WHA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable William H. Alsup, Judge

SONOS, INC.,

Plaintiff and

Counter-Defendant,

VS. ) NO. C 20-6754 WHA

GOOGLE, LLC,

Defendant and )
Counter-Claimant. )

San Francisco, California Friday, May 12, 2023

## TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS

## **APPEARANCES:**

For Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant:

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP The Orrick Building 405 Howard Street San Francisco, California 94105

BY: CLEMENT S. ROBERTS, ATTORNEY AT LAW ELIZABETH R. MOULTON, ATTORNEY AT LAW

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 777 South Figueroa Street, Suite 3200 Los Angeles, California 90017

BY: ALYSSA M. CARIDIS, ATTORNEY AT LAW

## (APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

REPORTED BY: Marla F. Knox, CSR No. 14421, RPR, CRR, RMR
United States District Court - Official Reporter

```
because you know how busy they are. They're busier than even a
 1
     district judge, and so I'm -- and they may not have understood
 2
     the significance of it and the priority dates and leaving to
 3
     the judge later to figure out does this sentence count for
 4
 5
     purposes of a 2005 priority or for purposes of a 2018 priority.
 6
     If it's 2018, then maybe the Google system predates your whole
 7
     thing and your patent is invalid. Maybe.
              MR. SHEA: So, Your Honor --
 8
              THE COURT: But just a second.
 9
          You led me to believe, Mr. Pak, that 5B came in in 2018.
10
11
              MR. PAK:
                        I apologize if that was the impression.
     talking about 5B with that sentence. 5B with that sentence.
12
     Without that sentence, 5B does not get you to overlapping zone
13
              That sentence is critical to understand how you go
14
     scenes.
15
     from Figure 5B.
16
              THE COURT: All right. We've got -- is the jury
17
     ready?
18
              THE LAW CLERK:
                             Yeah.
              THE COURT: All right. Hang on a minute.
19
20
          I want additional briefing.
21
              MR. PAK:
                        Thank you.
22
                         Thank you, Your Honor.
              MR. SHEA:
23
              THE COURT:
                          I want to know -- and I want it by Sunday
     night at 5:00 p.m., not 8:00 p.m., and I -- and I want to
24
```

understand the legal significance of an appendix: Is it part

25

of the specification? What does it mean?

The priority date -- there's, in my mind, a 50-50 chance that these patents are invalid because the Google system predated them because it was inadequate written description prior to that date, and that's the very reason you snuck it in there.

That's my suspicion. You're sitting around the conference room there at the Sonos saying "How can we -- we don't have a good enough description. Maybe we better -- let's move this in."

Now, maybe -- maybe the law is, "Oh, no, this counts as part of the appendix. It counts." But, to my mind, the whole point of the written description is to teach the world how to do it. If you have to go find something in some appendix that's online, that's crazy. What a crazy way to run a system.

So I -- I feel like there's a significant written description issue here with respect to the claims in suit. Do not slide off of that. I want to know case law that deals with appendixes priority dates laid it out.

MR. PAK: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, I want to know something. Was there anything else in 2018 or '19 that was snuck into the specification? Even one word I want to know.

MR. PAK: I'll go back and check. I don't know.

MR. SHEA: I can address that, Your Honor. I know the

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. DATE: Friday, May 12, 2023 Marla Krox Marla F. Knox, CSR No. 14421, RPR, CRR, RMR United States District Court - Official Reporter